

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,697	09/16/2003	Cheng Li	4003.13	5503
7590 03/22/2006		EXAMINER		
Howard M. Peters			GUPTA, ANISH	
PETERS, VERNY, JONES & SCHMITT, L.L.P. 425 Sherman Avenue, Suite 6		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Palo Alto, CA 94306			1654	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/664,697	LI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anish Gupta	1654	<u></u>			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.  The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSISTANCE IN THE MAILING DEPTH OF	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
′=		—· s action is non-final.					
′==	/ <del></del>		secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,۵	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-29</i> is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
·	Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	ander 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	•	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	L(d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
-/-		s have been received					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	•		Clago			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	2.452)			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a composition of matter comprising the compound claimed in claim 2. The compounds of claim 2 are independent and distinct since the are structurally divergent form one another. The two branched molecule is structurally distinct form the multi-branched molecule claimed in claim 2. The amino acid sequences that comprise the branched molecules are also structurally distinct. Applicant are requested to elect a single disclosed species corresponding to the formula (R)n+1-(Z)n-X-where each variable is specifically defined. If Applicants do not elect a single disclosed species which defines each variable, the election will be deemed to be not fully responsive. Thus Applicant should not elect generic or sub-generics for the variables.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-29 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,697 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (571)272-0965. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can normally be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number of this group is (571)-273-8300.

